## IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE

STATE OF DELAWARE	)
	)
v.	)
	) I.D. Nos. 1909007362, 1909007180,
JAMES MILLER,	) 1907012442 & 1907018191
	)
Defendant.	)

## **ORDER**

Submitted: June 2, 2023 Decided: July 10, 2023

**AND NOW TO WIT,** this 10<sup>th</sup> day of July 2023, upon consideration of James Miller ("Defendant")'s Motion for Modification/Reduction of Sentence under Rule 35, the sentence imposed upon the Defendant, and the record in this case, it appears to the Court that:

1. On January 27, 2020, Defendant pled guilty to two counts of Burglary Second Degree, one count of Non-compliance with Bond Conditions, and one count of Assault Third Degree.<sup>1</sup> On April 23, 2020, Defendant was sentenced to: for each Burglary Second Degree charge, 8 years at Level V, suspended after 3 years, for 18 months at Level III; for Non-Compliance with Bond Conditions, 2 years at Level V, suspended for 12 months at Level III; and for Assault Third Degree, Defendant was sentenced to one year at Level V, suspended for 12 months at Level III.<sup>2</sup>

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Crim ID No. 1909007362, D.I. 7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Crim ID No. 1909007362, D.I. 9. Defendant was also sentenced to restitution for a burglary

- 2. In June and July of 2020, Defendant filed his first Rule 35 motion with a supplemental letter,<sup>3</sup> respectively, asking that his sentences run concurrently under 11 *Del. C.* § 3901(d)<sup>4</sup> and 11 *Del. C.* § 825,<sup>5</sup> and that he be permitted to participate in a DOC treatment program. The Court denied the requests.<sup>6</sup>
- 3. On May 17, 2023, Defendant filed this Motion for Modification of Sentence asking now that this Court reduce the remaining year of his Level V sentence to Level IV.<sup>7</sup> In support, he states that, as he completed numerous programs, including educational, therapeutic, and violence-alternative programs, he is able to create a stable lifestyle upon his early release.<sup>8</sup>
- 4. Under Superior Court Criminal Rule 35(b), the Court may reduce a sentence of imprisonment on a motion made within ninety days after the sentence is imposed.<sup>9</sup> Defendant is time-barred. To overcome the time bar, he must show that "extraordinary circumstances" forgive the tardiness of his Motion.<sup>11</sup> The sole basis

charge, paying \$3,950.00 to the victim. *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See Crim ID No. 1909007362, D.I. 8; D.I. 10.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See 11 Del. C. § 3901 (providing for the fixing of terms of imprisonment within the Court's discretion to direct).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See 11 Del. C. § 825 (providing the definition of Burglary in the Second Degree).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Crim ID No. 1909007362, D.I. 11.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Crim ID No. 1909007362, D.I. 12.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Del. Super. Ct. Crim. R. 35(b).

The Delaware Supreme Court has defined "extraordinary circumstances" as circumstances which: "specifically justify the delay;' are 'entirely beyond a petitioner's control;' and 'have prevented the applicant from seeking the remedy on a timely basis." *State v. Diaz*, 113 A.3d 1081, 2015 WL 1741768, at \*2 (Del. 2015) (TABLE) (quoting *State v. Lewis*, 797 A.2d 1198, 1203, 1205 (Del. 2002) (Steele, C.J., dissenting)).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> See Colon v. State, 900 A.2d 635, 638 (Del. 2006) (citations omitted).

for his request to create a stable life upon early release does not constitute

extraordinary circumstances.

5. Further, "[t]he court will not consider repetitive requests for reduction

of sentence." A motion is considered repetitive when it "is preceded by an earlier

Rule 35(b) motion, even if the subsequent motion raises new arguments."13 He

previously filed a Rule 35(b) motion in June of 2020.<sup>14</sup> Thus, Defendant's request

is barred as repetitive. Rule 35 does not allow the Court to use its discretion to ignore

this bar. 15

6. Defendant's Motion for Modification of Sentence is **SUMMARILY** 

DISMISSED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/ Vivian L. Medinilla Vivian L. Medinilla

Judge

oc: Prothonotary

cc: Defendant

Department of Justice

**Investigative Services Office** 

<sup>12</sup> Del. Super. Ct. Crim. R. 35(b).

<sup>13</sup> State v. Culp, 152 A.3d 141, 144 (Del. 2016).

<sup>14</sup> See Crim ID No. 1909007362, D.I. 8.

<sup>15</sup> *Culp*, 152 A.3d at 145 (reversing the Superior Court's decision to grant the defendant's motion for modification where the motion was repetitive and untimely).

3